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ABSTRACT
The study notes the importance of feedback to student teachers as provided by the mentor. Indicating that in human development, what is always constant is change. Therefore, the individual’s cognitive structural change brings about his/her development and reforms. This does not happen suddenly; it is a kind of guided assistance through feedback from the superior who is more professional and more experienced. The preservice teachers are assisted to develop professionally when the supervisors give some professional feedback during post observation conference aimed at creating space for reflection and feedback. Students get their feedbacks in different ways - self-feedback, peer feedback, and then teacher feedback among others.
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INTRODUCTION
Human development is a means of increasing people’s liberties and chances to improving their well-being. This is why sociocultural theory is considered as human development theory. In human development study therefore, is impossible to understand development fully by studying just an individual, but instead, the external social world in which life develops should be examined carefully. As a result of this, learning is embedded within social happenings and occurs as individuals interact with the objects, events, and people in their communities. Development comes with an individual’s cognitive structural change, and is followed by reforming his/her activity, which also changes. Internalization therefore does not just simply involve the substitution of the old skills but instead, an essential reformation of teacher’s knowledge is recommended to become self-regulated (Chen & Cheng, 2013). Therefore, the need for feedback on performance is an important feature of professional exercise geared towards professional growth. Therefore, so it is in educational settings, the practice of feedback is a fragment of the educational practicum. Pre-service teachers are expected to be supervised by a guide, who is the supervisor during practicum. Feedback is given in post-observation conferences where the mentor will discuss the pre-service teacher’s performance with him/her (Copland, 2012). A lot of pre-service teachers are dreaded when supervisors visit their classrooms because the supervisor normally performs the unfriendly duties of making/giving unpleasant feedback. The classroom observer comes with hopes about how the post observation conference would continue, teachers equally come to the post observation conference with definite assumption and hopes which are common to them, and it is according to these that they respond during the post observation conference.

The main aim of the post-observation conference is to make available reflection and feedback on the lesson that was observed, and if possible, assist the teacher with other information to integrate into the lesson to intensify its efficiency. The mentor and the pre-service teacher discuss the observed lesson at the post-observation conference meeting; this will include using the notes taken by the observer and the evidences presented by the pre-service teachers. The teacher will study the outcomes of the reflection. Then she/he will equally reflect on the lesson as well as on how the students’ learning outcomes were achieved. With the observation and feedback, some additional approaches and resources may be identified. The supervisor according to AbdulRehman and Al-Bargi (2014) and
Certified Staff Evaluation Handbook, (2016) will focus on the teachers’ areas of weakness and strength.
In the context of the supervision, a supervisor can signify a lot of affordances such as been seen as an unwelcomed visitor, an administrative spy, or a foundation of ideas and possible support, meanwhile, a lot of pre-service teachers do see supervisors otherwise as they make diverse uses of the supervisors’ input and expertise. Teaching practice supervision is very relevant and necessary for every student teacher who partakes in it, and it is normally followed by supervisory conferences between student teachers and mentors. Throughout these conferences, students and mentors discuss the students’ work (Augustsson & Jaldemark, 2014). The desire to improve students’ results infiltrates basic and secondary education. But, while teachers conscientiously scrutinise teaching and supervision, the post-observation conferences are practically disregarded. Meanwhile, it is forgotten that teaching performance can only be improved when supervisors are able to analyse and evaluate pedagogy, which depends largely on their ability to motivate the teachers to scrutinise their own efficiency in the classroom. Supervisory conferences can make a change in teacher education if they are conducted in a methodical style by supervisors, by exhibiting behaviours consistent with sound human relations and management principles. It is often informative to examine what is, as well as what should be.

**Post conference feedback**

Feedback is intended to offer students adequate information on their performance in a given activity, so it guides their subsequent performance in parallel tasks (Carr, Siddiqui, Jonas-Dwyer, & Miller, 2013). It is an important element in an operative clinical education. It is also seen as an evidence that describes student teachers’ output in a given task that is made to monitor an impending performance in a similar/related activity. Educationally, feedback is targeted at stimulating change in student teachers’ attitudes. Providing feedback effectively is of paramount importance to professional learning as far as many professions are concern. The efficiency of feedback is dependent on certain factors such as the atmosphere, the manner, the scheduling, students’ readiness to consent the act on feedback, and then, the staff’s abilities and skills in providing feedback. There are different manners through which feedback can be delivered such as self-feedback which is categorised by reflective actions on one’s activities which includes data from assessments, peer-feedback which normally occurs when students either provide each other with feedback about their work or on their own work, and also, teacher feedback which can be face to face with the teacher or through remote communication using technology. The environment as far as feedback is concern, plays a significant role as it can influence the efficiency of the feedback. This indicates a climate of trust, protection and secrecy, centred on a supportive relationship (Carr, Siddiqui, Jonas-Dwyer, & Miller, 2013; Copland, 2010; Milan, Parish & Reichgott, 2006).

The works on feedback emphasize the significance of objectivity, reduction in sensitively charged circumstances, and of guaranteeing environment of reliance and comfort. These qualities are also features of good and effective communication between novice teachers and mentors. The purpose and the anticipated environment of educational feedback are equivalent to those of communications between novice teachers and mentors (Copland, 2010; Milan, Parish & Reichgott, 2006). The possibility of showing of interest by the supervisor in communication constructive feedback in a supervisory conference is an important element in teachers’ professional growth, because it is aiming at improving the novice teachers’ pedagogical approach. The post-lesson conferences in which the cooperating teacher and the supervisor assess the student teacher’s performance, creates a procedure of collaborative reflection. This reflection procedure makes substantial elements of the teaching practice by assisting the novice teachers connect their theoretical knowledge base with the practical experience acquired at the school (Akcan & Tatar, 2010).

Trainees realise how effective their teaching has been in the feedback session, which is normally held soon after practicum. Novices are engaged in reflective dialogue about their own teaching, which they did before the instructor. The trainers are required to offer positive or negative feedback to their trainees. Their involvement in this arrangement and discourse exercise is associated with challenges. The ability to reflect is difficult for novice teachers without extra pressure of an audience: such as when evaluating partners requiring an understanding of what is demanded in teaching practice, and
the ability to spot and record the teaching of others, and most significantly the ability to deliver feedback which is both suitable in content and properly delivered. These are skills that trainers need to develop but it takes years to do so. According to Tang and Chow (2007), supervisors use lesson and post-observation conferences method, and in the making of constructive feedback, supervisory conferences are vital for the teachers’ career growth and development.

Types of feedback
The typology of supervisory feedback according to Jaworski and Kohli (1991) can be considered from two dimensions, the first is the locus of feedback affects an output; while the second is the valence of feedback, considering the feedback is positive or negative. Intersected with each other the two scopes propose a fourfold typology of supervisory feedback:

1) Positive output feedback
2) Negative output feedback
3) Positive behavioural feedback
4) Negative behavioural feedback.

These four types of feedback are said to be theoretically different, it is important to note that they all may be present simultaneously to varying degrees. Positive feedback on output and behaviour seems to function informationally in that it simplifies what outputs and behaviours are anticipated. Positive feedback also seems to serve a motivational function by prompting that performance is being evaluated frequently, thereby encouraging them to work harder and/or smarter. Furthermore, positive feedback that places emphases on behaviours tends to increase fulfilment to a greater magnitude than positive output feedback. Negative feedback, nevertheless appears to mend role clarity, but does not seem to motivate at the same degree as positive feedback irrespective of behaviours.

The feedback tension
Trainers try to attain stability assessment with a more developing discourse. Nevertheless, with the study that Jaworski and Kohli (1991) carried out, it suggests that conflicting functions are not the only cause for tension coming from feedback. Fully aware of other sources of tension, he discussed only two.

Tension as a result of peer feedback
One of the first causes of tension arising from the feedback is that all trainees are expected to participate in peer feedback. Some cope with delivering and receiving peer feedback, others struggle with understanding the rules of this discourse exercise (Carr, Siddiqui, Jonas-Dwyer, & Miller, 2013). In the peer feedback, the common feature is that the trainees are expected to provide positive or negative appraisal of the lessons taught by their peer. All trainees are encouraged to participate in the session.

Every student has the prospects of becoming a professional. One of the first job of mentors in the classroom is to identify and expand the strength of their trainees, by empowering and teaching them to be active peer experts. This is by giving them charge to share their expertise with their peers. With this approach of teaching and learning, each student presents his/her perspective, ideas, and preferences. Empowering trainees as experts means they need to obtain some control of their learning. Peer feedback has a high level of response and discussion between the student reader and the student writer that can promote a cooperative dialogue in which two-way feedback is formed with understanding between the two parties (Rollinson, 2005; Sackstein, 2017).

Tension as a result of reflection on practice
This category of tension is distinct. The trainer asks the trainee to make a self-evaluation on his/her lesson and give a self-feedback (Carr, Siddiqui, Jonas-Dwyer, & Miller, 2013). Self-evaluation gives trainees the chance to reflect on their individual lessons and concurrently provide the trainers with proof of the trainees’ stages of understanding and knowledge on English language teaching. Meanwhile, for self-evaluation in the feedback the trainees should have a detailed description of both the strength and the weaknesses of the trainees as agreeing with the presentation of self-evaluation. The discrepancy between the anticipations of the instructor with respect to self-evaluation, the trainer could be frustrated. According to Akcan and Tatar (2010), reflection as a goal came into serious consideration in teacher education after the emergence of Schön (1983, 1987). Reflection is considered as an approach to improve teachers’ autonomy by inspiring them to scrutinise the principles and reason out patterns which motivate their classroom actions. It is an important practice during post-conferences between student teachers and supervisors because it helps in encouraging cooperative and productive decision-making towards better instruction.
The Cooperating teachers

The cooperating teacher performs a vital role as the novice teacher’s model and mentor and has great inspiration over the novice teacher’s learning process. Novice teachers tend to embrace the practices of their cooperating teachers, assuming that they have no option. Cooperating teachers have a lot of impact on the novice-teachers’ professionally-related socialization, vocational fulfilment, their views on the professional role, their views on teaching, instructional practices, as well as their decision whether to stay in the profession or not. Despite the fact that such views are strongly backed by the supporting evidences of the cooperating teachers’ power, there are still debates on the appointment of cooperating teachers. Earlier researches pointed at the random choice of cooperating teachers and their insufficient training for the duty of supervisors. The choice of the cooperating teachers should be done using criterion for selection and evaluation is influential to reducing, if possible eliminating totally, the challenging aspects of mentoring (Altan, & Sağlamel, 2015).

Mentor teachers, says Izadinia (2016), are key backers of novice-teachers’ education by performing a fundamental role in the development of their career through assisting them technically and professionally for personal development in teaching style. The practicum which they are engaged in aids their general professional accomplishment of the training section, which seriously relays on the encouraging rapport between the novice-teachers and the supervisors. He also states that the maximum substantial aspects of mentoring relationship from the trainees’ and mentors’ perspectives which was to encourage and sustain, open relationship and feedback. Passionate and academic guide have communication and feedback as significant components of a constructive mentoring rapport by the two. While the mentors claim that feedback is the best aspect of the monitoring, the mentees on the other hand regard passionate and academic assistant they get from the mentors as the most important. The mentors acquaint their mentees with the school real life as a help to a guide whether to continue with the job or not. There is a substantial intersection in the explanations the mentors and the mentees used to replicate their views of the counselling affiliation. As novice teachers have the urge for their learning to teach, they equally require persistent assistance in releasing self-efficacy to construct a confident image of the teacher of their dream. Each observation made by the mentors can make a strong impression on their behaviours as well as the views on their positions as teachers. It is seriously commended for mentors to value the importance of the part they play to influence the identity of the student teachers through giving them complete passionate and educational backing, as well as providing them with constant feedback for stronger development of teacher personality (Izadinia, 2016). The collaborating teachers are expected to be well notified on how to supervise, and communicate effectively to give feedback to the novice teachers in the post-conferences meeting.

Supervisor and the role of supervisor

A Supervisor, say Chen & Cheng (2013), is anyone who has the responsibility of observing and refining the quality of teaching done in an educational setting by other teachers. Teacher Supervisors perform several roles as some are senior staff with the responsibility of guiding junior colleagues while some hold other responsibilities and are not simultaneous engaged in teaching activities. American Psychological Association (2015) sees supervision as a discrete professional activity engaging a collective association which has both facilitative and evaluative components extended over a period of time with a soul goal of enhancing the novices’ professional competence, monitoring the standard of services delivered, defending the public, and serving as a gatekeeper for the profession. It is also as a managerial structure libelled with responsibility and task which is concentrated upon the valuation and improvement of contemporary practice in an organization. It is equally considered as a procedure of overseeing the capability of people to meet the objectives of their organization. While educationally, it is a continuing process of teacher education in which the supervisor observes teacher’s classroom instruction hoping to witness improved instruction (Doresh, 2001; Gebhard, 1990; Goldsberry, 1988).

Teacher supervision is an important subject of research as it is associated with teachers’ dedication to teaching, the level of satisfaction, and self-efficacy. The lack of a nurturing of supervisors and cooperating teachers supervisory relationship leads to negative approaches toward the profession as well as self-efficacy and low satisfaction levels. So also, the quality of associations during the
practicum familiarization can lead to generating of either positive or negative views by the student teachers toward their future vocation. In fact, student teachers have always considered practicum experience and work with the field supervisors as the two utmost significant rudiments of their professional training. Meanwhile, they understood that the supervisors have a strong effect on self-perception, the identity and their quality as future teachers. The Cooperating teachers’ and the university supervisors’ methods of supervision are of great significance to the student teachers’ development procedure (Ibrahim, 2013).

In supervision, a supervisor is the advisor to a novice teacher, also provides support for the professional education of the latter. Subject to the contexts in which the supervision is carried out/planned, the supervisor can take on varieties of supervisory duties. Experienced schoolteacher can carry out a mentoring role in multilateral association with a student teacher in ITE (Initial Teacher Education) and the tertiary supervisor or in a second level relationship with a student teacher in a school-based training staff development programme. Invariably, a supervisor has been assigned the duty of observing and refining the quality of teaching done in the educational sector by other teachers (Tang & Chow, 2007; Wallace, 1991). They further stated that teaching practice supervision performance will be for a formative valuation purpose to enhance professional learning or/and summative valuation purpose to obtain certificate. Although the precise aim of supervision can be specified in a programme, how such purpose is endorsed, specifically, in post-observation conference is closely associated to the relationship between the members. Supervision consists of three steps: the pre-observation/the planning conference, the lesson, and the debriefing/the post-observation conference. The post-observation conferences is the debriefing stage of the supervisory series contemporary theoretical framework for the study of the content of discussions in such sessions. The content of the observation conferences identify pupils, curriculum materials, goals, context and lesson as the substantive sets to be considered in post-observation conferences. The content also provides a background for the study of the content of mentor-novice teacher discussions. The framework also offers a theory-practice continuum of associated education texts to include theory of pedagogy, academic subject, school curriculum, classroom and teacher development, education and subject curriculum (Tang & Chow, 2007). Meanwhile, the target of supervision is for the development of novice teachers to theorise their practices. One of the tasks of the supervisors according to Akcan and Tatar (2010) encompasses regular checking of pre-service teachers’ teaching routine, giving oral and written feedback after each teaching activity. Also, guide the student teachers to develop their lesson plans, grading their presentation according to lay down format rubric used for the program, communicate with them about their teaching experience and the progress they make, and also study their portfolios which they submit at the end of their teaching period. The cooperating teachers work hand-in-hand with their trainees during the teaching practice and mostly give them feedback about their performance. While Copland (2010) shows participation structures and discourse practices create tension in trainees during supervisor’s feedback on post-observation conference. Trainees should be trained to take part in feedback at the beginning of their course for them to have a clear knowledge of the procedure of feedback and the roles they are to play during the process. Trainers should consider familiarizing the trainees to a range of frameworks into the feedback practicum for them to have the opportunity to experience methods of feedback that are in line with their field of study. Feedback is an essential part of the mentor’s role and involves logical and precise opinion of a trainee’s teaching presentation. It should expedite reflection and foster reasoning ability of the trainees as regarding their teaching abilities. When there is standard mentoring, it will improve instruction, better teaching abilities and more analytical trainee reflection.

Meanwhile, the role of the supervisor inclines to be more collaborative and collegial. AbdulRehman and Al-Bargi (2014) further stated that the supervisor and the novice teacher should have discussion at the post observation conferences on issues such as the teacher’s level of sensitivity as observed towards the learners’ needs, culture, and handle any situation to avoid the possibility of engaging in fruitless arguments. Thus, the teachers’ contribution should have been soughed to aid make certain variations and design an appraisal rubric which will help place more significance to the actual learning method in alternative observers’ subjective opinions. This is feasible considering the following procedures:
1. A committee for training in observation incorporating experienced teachers be set.
2. The supervision team be constituted and the committee should collaborate with teachers that are willing to recommend modifications to the rubric.
3. The team should consider the suggestions from the teachers, the supervisory team and the committee should create changes in the rubric.
4. The fresh rubric should be steered with two or more observers monitoring each category and equating records later.
5. Finally, the rubric can go through the final modifications.

Akcan and Tatar (2010) state that the supervisors’ post-conferences feedback can either be oral or written assessments, but attention is paid mostly to the use of language by the novice teacher, while activities are used to improve the novice’s involvement and attention in the classroom as well as increasing his flexibility. The supervisor corrects the novice to always work on their target language consciousness, correcting mispronunciations and grammatical rules. The novice is expected to inform the supervisor of the learners’ profile in the class. Such will guide the supervisor and the novice teacher assesses the level of success recorded in the classroom activities considering the learners’ profile.

Sometimes the supervisors use oral mode for post-lesson conferences and then written mode for evaluation sheets, in giving feedback to the novice teachers during teaching practice. Using both oral and written styles of feedback in teacher education programs could be useful greatly because it provides manifold bases of getting feedback could enrich the learning settings for the teachers’ professional development. Communicative and dialogic environments in supervisory conferences can increase cooperative and positive decision-making for better instruction. When supervisee thinks and reflects together with the supervisor or the mentor concerning a teaching activity, they become more aware of their individual practices as it helps them develop professionally (Akcan & Tatar, 2010).

It is therefore crucial that the supervisor comprehends his/her supervisee’s thought from the lesson observed so as to take care of the supervisees’ individual learning needs. Supervisors need to consider individual supervisees’ level of confidence and competence alongside/or their dedication and conceptual thought (Glickman, Gordon, & Ross-Gordon, 2004; Ralph, 2001). The supervisory discourse can literally be converted to a monologue in which the Supervisor identifies the trainee’s weakness and be noted. Therefore, the post-observation conference most often is a difficult moment for supervisors because they must sometimes give negative feedback to teachers where necessary. Such a time is considered as period of unequal power discourse and could be face-threatening for the supervisor as well as the supervisee. Sometimes, supervisors try to apply different syntactic and semantic approaches to it.

**Supervision models/theoretical frameworks**

Simple educational feedback methods are generally enough situations in which educational concerns demand attention for objective evaluation of elements. There are a number of models that can be considered in post-observation feedback conference, this depends on the aim of the feedback. Goldsberry (1988) proposed three models to include the prescriptive model, the reflective supervision and the nominal supervision. On the other hand, Acheson and Gall (1997) gave a general teacher supervision categories as counsellor, inspector, coach mentor and consultant.

While Milan, Parish and Reichgott (2006) identified two communication methods that can be applied to the feedback process in harmonising techniques: Trans-theoretical model that evaluates the novice’s stage of preparedness to change his/her performance; that is, the ability of the students to adjust their academic performance in reaction to the received feedback. The phases of willingness to change model (Trans-theoretical) recommends that individuals are always in some of the phases of change: relapse, determination (or preparation), action, contemplation, maintenance, or pre-contemplation. The steps of change approach (trans-theoretical) addresses the novice’s receptiveness to the procedure, and the second is PEARLS which stands for the Partnership, Empathy, Apology, Respect, Legitimation, Support, it is a methodology which focuses on generating a helpful environment. PEARLS shows one of the common skills used to express sympathy and build confidence. When adjusted to educational scenario, the skills include such as empathic consideration, respect for the novice’s morals and choices, backing the efforts at adjustment of sincere feelings and intentions, regret for obstacles to the novice’s progress, and partner together to solve problems.
The supervisory team according to AbdulRehman and Al-Bargi (2014) makes use of a sixteen item observation tool and rates each part from a scale of one (unsatisfactory) to five (outstanding). Supervisors refer to the detailed evaluation rubric for supervision which has the procedures and it is about three to six marked points to rate each of the items. But meanwhile, the teacher has no input in the evaluation rubric to be used on him/her, and the supervisors alone have access to the document for almost two years and not made available to the teachers concerned. The affected teachers will only come into contact with the evaluation report without knowing the criteria that were used to score them on the sixteen items on the form. Supervisors perform such an important task as part of moulding student teachers’ future vocation choices, as well as their belief and thought. Meanwhile, in functioning as mentors to the student teachers, they ought to be informed about the nature of jobs waiting for them, the kind of skills needed and the professional training chances (AbdulRehman & Al-Bargi, 2014).

Nevertheless, Ibrahim (2013) could not point out the levels of abstraction and commitment of student teachers as in Henry and Weyne (2010). Rather he provided two conflicting opinions. One is that the university supervisors believe that majority of the novice teachers belong to low abstraction and commitment levels, accounting for their belief and suggesting that the directive approach is the most appropriate for them. The university supervisors’ belief in the use of directive approach, which could have been as a result of the traditional nature of the practicum which gave them little time with the students but meanwhile, that does not justify for their beliefs in the abstraction and commitment low
levels. Then the second, it could be said that novice teachers may have high abstraction and low commitment levels and use either the collaborative approach or high commitment and low abstraction levels then use the directive informational approach if the most frequently used by teachers are to be considered. Haven resolved the issue on the perception of cooperating teachers and the university supervisors, the cooperating teachers use the classroom situations which is now conducive for discursive teaching and learning with more possibility for collaboration as they try to avoid conflict and promote neutral and collaborative environment.

Supervisor is also at liberty to choose from varieties of supervisory behaviours and methods that include such as self-directed methods, direct informational methods, collaborative method, self-assessment models, clinical models, integrative models, contextual models etc to consider the developmental need of the supervisees. It is vital for the supervisor and the supervisee to work as a team in co-constructing knowledge during the post-observation meetings. The supervisee supposed to be carried along as an active member during the post-observation meeting which the supervisor and supervisee together scrutinise the information from observation then knowledge construction appropriate for classroom exercise. Collective and non-directive supervisory methods make possible the construction of knowledge as better mutual understanding by the teacher and the supervisor on how pedagogical resolutions have effect on the formation and quality of teaching and learning.

During the post-observation conference, the supervisors’ choose to pass their message in a soften language. In general terms, they use three different mitigating strategies as identified by Wajnry (1994) during post-observation conference. These are Syntactic mitigation strategies which are shifts in tense, aspect, person, the use of particular clause structure and negation, they also use modal verbs and interrogatives to soften the critic messages. While Semantic mitigation qualm does indicate such as hesitations, false starts, digressions, lexical hedges, and hedging modifiers which are equally used by the supervisors. Then, the indirect mitigation which include conventionally indirect mitigation, a situation where the supervisors make criticism on a lighter meaning of an utterance, then implicit indirect mitigation where the meaning of the supervisors’ utterance is interpreted from in-between what was said and the circumstance of saying it, and finally, pragmatic ambivalence where the utterances are made intentionally to be unclear. The mitigations are common in supervisors’ use of language to teachers during post-observation conferences; meanwhile, the use of language is likely to confuse the teachers, as they are unclear and ambiguous in nature. If such messages are passed in a gentle language, the teachers will be able to listen or understand better (Bailey, 2009).

CONCLUSION
The mentor's general duty is to see to the carrier growth, development and promotion of his trainee and assist in improving their learning. They should also serve as emotional supports for them when there is carrier challenge. During the process of mentoring, the documentation of the novice teacher's experience is required for future improvement. The mentors give the novice teachers critical assistance and guide to improve their preparation of subject content knowledge, as well as their pedagogical content knowledge through orientation for better output. The supervisory post-conference feedback can be after lesson the aim is to improve the novice teachers’ performance. Supervisors are therefore responsible for supporting individual pre-service teachers perform their goals as expected, increase their performance goals in using direct reports, and also ensure that performance are plainly conversed and updated; they equally offer fair, constructive, and suitable feedback concerning the performance and objectives of the supervisees. Assistance, guidance, and coaching support are provided as at when needed by the mentors, and they also plan on ensuring staff have professional development strategies.
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